

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/360,805

Applicant(s)

CLUM ET AL.

Examiner

Michael G. Hartley

Art Unit

1616

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) Wendy Choi (Appl. repr.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 December 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

226 and 227

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to cancel claims 226 and 227 by examiner's amendment, as these product-by-process claims are viewed as product claims that are not distinct from patented claims. Also, agreed to submit a supplemental declaration to cover the newly added claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)